UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

BRIENNA MARIE LUCAS-WILLIAMSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 8:18-cv-2641-EAK-AAS

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER

Currently before the undersigned is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Amanda A. Sansone. (Doc. 30). By the R&R, Judge Sansone recommends Plaintiff Brienna Marie Lucas-Williamson's Petition for EAJA Fees Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2412(d) ("Motion"), (Doc. 22), be granted in part and denied in part, (Doc. 25). No party filed written objections to the R&R, and the time for doing so has elapsed.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), Congress vested Article III judges with the power to "designate a magistrate judge to hear and determine any pretrial matter pending before the court," subject to various exceptions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). The Act further vests magistrate judges with authority to submit proposed findings of fact and recommendations for disposition by an Article III judge. *Id.* § 636(b)(1)(B). "Within fourteen days after being served with a copy [of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation], any party may serve and file written objections to [the magistrate

judge's] proposed findings and recommendations." *Id.* § 636(b)(1). On review, the district judge "shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report . . . to which objection is made." *Id.* When no timely and specific objections are filed, caselaw indicates the district judge should review the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations using a clearly erroneous standard. *See Gropp v. United Airlines, Inc.*, 817 F. Supp. 1558, 1562 (M.D. Fla. 1993) (Kovachevich, J.).

After careful consideration of the R&R, in conjunction with an independent examination of the file, the undersigned finds the R&R is well-reasoned, correct, and not clearly erroneous.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Judge Sansone's R&R, (Doc. 30), is **AFFIRMED** and **ADOPTED** and **INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE** into this Order for all purposes, including appellate review.
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion, (Doc. 22), is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**.
- 3. Plaintiff is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$6,400.97.

ORDERED in Chambers, in Tampa, Florida, this 12th day of December, 2019.

ELIZABETH A. KOVACHEVICH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Copies furnished to:

Counsel/Parties of Record